Peer Reviewer: Ying Xin Jiang

Author Reviewed: Ashley Tapia

Researched Critical Analysis Essay Peer Review

First Impressions

Is there a title? Does it grab your attention? What does it make you think about or

wonder?

There is a title, and it is attention-grabbing. It makes me think of bruises and pain.

Is there a clear thesis statement? What is it? Can it be argued from supporting or

opposing sides?

I think the thesis statement is "In my eyes and others, it seems as though a lot of them have

been taking advantage of their power and American citizens". The thesis is arguable,

although I would prefer if you got rid of the first part, and as for the rest, it should be stated

more like a claim than a suggestion.

Do you agree or disagree? Why? Why not?

I'm neutral on this topic, the behavior of police differs from person to person and also based

on location.

Where is the thesis located?

The second sentence of the introduction.

Arrangement

What is the main form of argumentation and arrangement being used?

There's some background information, points that support the claim, followed by the rebuttal.

The arguments seem to be a mix of logos and some opinionated.

For each section and claim, identify the type of arrangement being used and notate this on their outline.

Label each claim with a letter from strongest to weakest, (A being the strongest). What is the order of their presentation?

The order of the presentation is the standard argument format.

Is this the most logical arrangement? What other forms and order would make more sense, or be more persuasive? Suggest two areas and forms for consideration.

This arrangement is fine and follows a typical argument format.

What objections do you have to this argument?

The term police brutality doesn't only describe the violence toward people of color, but the abuse of power in general.

Circle the opposing viewpoints included? Are they valid and significant reasons to disagree with the writer? Are there enough?

There is an opposing argument on how the actions of the police can be considered not being an abuse of power, but rather self-defense. There could be more reasons though.

Are you convinced by the conclusion? Why or why not? What else did you want to know?

The conclusion ties everything up nicely, the part about media influencing people creates something to think about.

What additional information needs to be presented before you can believe the writer has proven and rested their case?

It may be beneficial to know if the police officers had a history of abusing minorities, or if it was a one-off case.

What is the most interesting thing you learned about this topic?

I learned that the police got off with minor punishments despite murdering another human being.

What else would convince you to believe the writer is correct?

More statistics would be beneficial for this type of paper