

Peer Reviewer:

Yong Xin Jiang

ENG\_10109 J.Poe F18

Author Reviewed:

Ashley Tapia

Researched Critical Analysis Essay Peer Review #2

First Impressions

Does the title attract your attention? Why/why not? Does it clearly identify the author's purpose and stance? Why/why not? Suggest at least one improvement in word choice or phrasing, or an alternative title.

The title does attract attention by using imagery. I don't think the second part of the title is too vague.

What type of introduction is this? (Narrative, Expository, etc...) Name two things you enjoyed and two areas for improvement.

+ Good question hook

+ Good synopsis

- "I will provide evidence..." (Don't include)

- Too much emotion/bias

Is there enough background information presented in the introduction? Is there any additional information needed to clarify the context of the primary source or the author's thesis?

There is a lot of background information, it may be helpful if you include exactly where in America this happened.

Is there a clear thesis statement? What is it and where is it located? Can it be argued from supporting or opposing sides?

There isn't a clear thesis, your argument and counter-argument is layered weirdly.

Arrangement

Are there enough claims to fully support the thesis and convince readers that the argument is logical and valid? What other claims might the author make to strengthen their argument?

There is a good number of claims.

Does the arrangement of claims flow in a logical and persuasive manner? Describe the progression of ideas.

The arrangement of the claims in line, you addressed stereotypes, policing, and discrimination.

Is every claim clearly and fully explained? Are there any areas where you were confused? What additional explanations or phrasing would improve clarity and comprehension for the reader?

The claims are fully explained.

## Peer Reviewer:

Are there any paragraphs or sections that need to be moved to different locations? Which ones and where should they be placed?

I think your formatting is fine for your paper

Does the writer successfully transition throughout each paragraph? Are there any transitional words or phrases that are overused? Suggest at least one additional phrase they can use.

There are a lack of ~~trans~~ transitional words at times.

Does the writer transition successfully from paragraph to paragraph? Are there topic sentences strong and clearly linked to the focus of each paragraph?

The transition between paragraphs is fine

**Research**

Is each claim fully supported with proof from the primary source? Are there any claims which require more evidence?

In the fourth paragraph, there could be evidence (in quotes) from the show.

Is there enough supporting data from outside sources for each major claim? What kind of data do they use?

There is a good amount of supporting data. They used a good variety of data, such as statistics.

Is the supporting data fully explained and clearly linked back to the author's claim and/or thesis? Where do they need to clarify or explain further?

The data connects back to the claim.

Is any data/information missing, or interpreted in a way that seems confusing or incorrect?

One of your sources ~~are~~ from the Washington Post, which may not be credible

Is every quote, statistic, or image properly labeled and cited using MLA format?

The citations for websites seems to be incorrect

Peer Reviewer:

ENG 10108 J. Poe F18

### Counterarguments

How does the author engage with counterarguments? Are they embedded into each paragraph or is there a separate section that only deals with counterarguments (Refutatio)

The argument is placed in a separate section

Do the author's rebuttals seem logical and persuasive? Why/why not? Suggest another idea for a counterargument(s) that the author might employ.

The rebuttals are logical due to the use of statistics.

### In Conclusion

Is the conclusion clear and impactful? Why/Why not? Does it reinforce the thesis and major claims in their argument?

The conclusion is impactful and creates thought, in addition to reinforcing thesis and claim

Are you convinced by the conclusion? Why or why not? What else did you want to know?

I am convinced by the conclusion, it wraps your ideas up nicely

What is the writer's overall tone and how do they achieve this? Is the tone consistent throughout the essay?

I find that the writer's tone is aggressive in a passionate way. There is a lot of opinion in this piece.

What did you enjoy most about reading this essay? Name at least two aspects.

I like how you put the readers in the perspective of the victim

Where should the writer focus most to improve their work? Name two areas

Put less "I" in your essay  
less opinionated

Peer Reviewer: Ying Xin JiangAuthor Reviewed: Evelyn MarknerResearched Critical Analysis Essay Peer Review #2First Impressions

Does the title attract your attention? Why/why not? Does it clearly identify the author's purpose and stance? Why/why not? Suggest at least one improvement in word choice or phrasing, or an alternative title.

The title does give a summary of what you are trying to say. However, a shocking title can make your point better.

What type of introduction is this? (Narrative, Expository, etc...) Name two things you enjoyed and two areas for improvement.

This is an expository introduction. I like the background information of the movie, and how it's connected to the time period. Needs more synopsis.

Is there enough background information presented in the introduction? Is there any additional information needed to clarify the context of the primary source or the author's thesis?

There needs to be a bit more information about the "learning about sexuality through him"

Is there a clear thesis statement? What is it and where is it located? Can it be argued from supporting or opposing sides?

I think the last line of the first paragraph is the thesis. I guess there could be other reasons as to why it is considered a cult classic film.

Arrangement  
Are there enough claims to fully support the thesis and convince readers that the argument is logical and valid? What other claims might the author make to strengthen their argument?

There are a lot of claims, but some are quite similar to each other.

Does the arrangement of claims flow in a logical and persuasive manner? Describe the progression of ideas.

First the background of the setting of the movie is explained, then the argument, and the counter-argument.

Is every claim clearly and fully explained? Are there any areas where you were confused? What additional explanations or phrasing would improve clarity and comprehension for the reader?

I am confused with the first claim you made, I think you should explain the evidence further instead of skipping to another quote.

Peer Reviewer:

Are there any paragraphs or sections that need to be moved to different locations? Which ones and where should they be placed?

You should combine the background info with the film

Does the writer successfully transition throughout each paragraph? Are there any transitional words or phrases that are overused? Suggest at least one additional phrase they can use.

The transitions are fine.

Does the writer transition successfully from paragraph to paragraph? Are there topic sentences strong and clearly linked to the focus of each paragraph?

The topic sentences are too similar

Research

Is each claim fully supported with proof from the primary source? Are there any claims which require more evidence?

There needs to be more analysis when you give a piece of evidence. Putting two quotes together closely is confusing

Is there enough supporting data from outside sources for each major claim? What kind of data do they use?

Most of the secondary sources ~~are~~ are used in the second paragraph, and the others lack unique sources.

Is the supporting data fully explained and clearly linked back to the author's claim and/or thesis? Where do they need to clarify or explain further?

The supporting data is too vague, such as "sexuality became a topic of much discussion".

Is any data/information missing, or interpreted in a way that seems confusing or incorrect?

More secondary sources should be used on the film rather than the background

Is every quote, statistic, or image properly labeled and cited using MLA format?

I don't think the citing of the music/lyrics is correct

Peer Reviewer:

ENG\_10108 J.Poe F18

**Counterarguments**

How does the author engage with counterarguments? Are they embedded into each paragraph or is there a separate section that only deals with counterarguments (Refutio)

There is a separate section

Do the author's rebuttals seem logical and persuasive? Why/why not? Suggest another idea for a counterargument(s) that the author might employ.

The counterargument is too vague, try to target a specific point that you are going ~~trying~~ to men counter

**In Conclusion**

Is the conclusion clear and impactful? Why/Why not? Does it reinforce the thesis and major claims in their argument?

The conclusion summarizes your points.

Are you convinced by the conclusion? Why or why not? What else did you want to know?

There's nothing really added other than your main points

What is the writer's overall tone and how do they achieve this? Is the tone consistent throughout the essay?

The tone feels formal and neutral, consistently.

What did you enjoy most about reading this essay? Name at least two aspects.

- I like the bizzare topic choice
- The complexity of the topic

Where should the writer focus most to improve their work? Name two areas.

- Secondary sources (spreading them out)
- analyzing evidence